

DECLARATION AND POWER OF ATTORNEY
UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 371(c)(4) FOR PCT APPLICATION
FOR UNITED STATES PATENT

As a below named inventor, I hereby declare that:

My residence, post office address and citizenship are as stated below next to my name;

I believe I am the original, first and sole inventor (if only one name is listed below) or an original, first and joint inventor (if plural names are listed below) of the subject matter which is claimed and for which a patent is sought on the invention entitled

A BABY BOTTLE BRUSH

the specification of which:

(check one) ☒ is attached hereto
☐ was filed on _____
as Application Serial No. _____
and was amended on _____
(if applicable)

I hereby state that I have reviewed and understand the contents of the above identified specification, including the claims, as amended by any amendment referred to above.

I acknowledge the duty to disclose information which is material to the examination of this application in accordance with Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations, § 1.56*

Under Title 35 U.S.C. § 119, the priority benefits of the following priority application(s) filed within one year of my international application are hereby claimed:

Korean Utility Model Patent Application 2000-34738 filed on December 12, 2000

Korean Utility Model Patent Application 2000-36350 filed on December 26, 2000

(The international application is PCT/KR01/01068 filed on June 22, 2001)

Power of Attorney: As a named inventor, I hereby appoint Andrew M. Calderon, Reg. No. 38,093, Kevin A. Reif, Reg. No. 36,381, Mary G. Goulet, Reg. No. 35,884 and Hae-Chan Park, Reg. No. P50,114 as attorneys and/or agents to prosecute this application and transact all business in the Patent and Trademark Office connected therewith. All correspondence should be directed to McGuireWoods LLP, 1750 Tysons Boulevard, Suite 1800, Tysons Corner, McLean, Virginia 22102-4215. Telephone calls should be directed to McGuireWoods LLP at (703) 712-5000.

I hereby declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issued thereon.

Full Name of Sole

or First Inventor Sang Woong Lee

Inventor's Signature _____ Date _____

Residence 375-3 Yongjeong-Ri, Pungse-Myun, Cheonan-Si, Chungcheongnam-Do, 330-919, Republic of Korea

Citizenship Republic of Korea

Post Office Address Same as above

Full Name of

Second Inventor Dong Hean Lee

Inventor's Signature _____ Date _____

Residence 375-3 Yongjeong-Ri, Pungse-Myun, Cheonan-Si, Chungcheongnam-Do, 330-919, Republic of Korea

Citizenship Republic of Korea

Post Office Address Same as above

Full Name of

Third Inventor _____

Inventor's Signature _____ Date _____

Residence _____

Citizenship _____

Post Office Address Same as above

Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations, § 1.56:

(a) A patent by its very nature is affected with a public interest. The public interest is best served, and the most effective patent examination occurs when, at the time an application is being examined, the Office is aware of and evaluates the teachings of all information material to patentability. Each individual associated with the filing and prosecution of a patent application has a duty of candor and good faith toward the Patent and Trademark Office, which includes a duty to disclose to the Office all information known to that individual to be material to patentability as defined in this section. The duty to disclose information exists with respect to each pending claim until the claim is canceled or withdrawn from consideration, or the application becomes abandoned.

(b) Under this section, information is material to patentability when it is not cumulative to information already of record or being made of record in the application, and (1) it establishes, by itself or in combination with other information, a prima facie case of unpatentability; or (2) it refutes, or is inconsistent with, a position the applicant takes in: (i) opposing an argument of unpatentability relied on by the Office, or (ii) asserting an argument of patentability.